Christian Aid's Essential Purpose is to expose the scandal of poverty, to help in practical ways to root it out from the world, and to challenge and change the systems that favour the rich and powerful over the poor and marginalised. We are an agency of 41 churches in Britain and Ireland and are mandated to work on relief, development and advocacy for poverty eradication. We work with and through partners overseas: churches, ecumenical bodies, local NGOs and movements who have common values and who we recognise to be in the best position to identify and deliver support and assistance to the communities that they work with.

Accountability is vital to upholding our Christian based values and for maintaining our legitimacy and credibility to speak out so that it fully supports our Essential Purpose. We aim to hold ourselves openly responsible, in ways that involve our key stakeholders, for what we believe, what we do and say we will do – and for showing what we have done compared to what we said we would do. Doing this enables us to get feedback on what works and what doesn't, and what we need to improve. This increases the likelihood of success in our work with poor communities and enhances a sense of ownership among all our stakeholders. It also reduces the potential for inefficient use or misuse of the resources entrusted to us.

Christian Aid's Statement of Accountability outlines how we strive to exercise accountability to all its stakeholders:

Poor and marginalised people and their communities

Our supporters, including campaigners and volunteers

Our partners Our sponsoring churches and board of trustees

Our managers and staff Our allies

Our institutional donors

The wider public and those we challenge

Our regulators Our suppliers and people who work in our supply chain

The natural environment

To enable us to manage our accountability to our key stakeholders we have identified five target stakeholder groups, whom we believe have a primary stake in the achievement of our Essential Purpose and whose means of 'holding us to account' are most in need of strengthening. Outlined in this document are our commitments to these groups;

Poor and marginalised communities Partner organisations

Supporters, campaigners and volunteers

Our sponsoring churches and board of trustees

Our staff

There will be overlap between managing our accountability to these constituencies, for example, as a partnership organisation much of our accountability to poor and marginalised communities will be through our partners.

In April 2012 we launched our new corporate strategy, 'Partnership for Change'. We are currently in a period of transition, interpreting the strategy and its' supporting Performance and Capability Frameworks for the different contexts in which we work. As part of this process, this framework is a live document that will be continually monitored and updated as required, particularly following the finalisation of department plans in early 2013. This latest revision has been expanded to reflect our commitment to ensuring cross-organisation understanding and ownership of our accountability commitments, and over the coming year we seek to strengthen our accountability to all stakeholder groups.

Participation

Christian Aid's Commitment	Where are we now – gaps	Areas for improvement	Commitments for 2012/13	
	and good practice		By when	Responsible
To partner organisations and to poor and marginalised	Guidelines for participation	Establishment of a system	January 2013	UK accountability team
communities	expectations have been	that will enable us to		Country managers
We recognise that our ability to ensure participation is	produced and shared with	monitor the usefulness of		REMs
largely dependent on that of our partners. To meet our	country offices	these guidelines, and		HAP focal points
commitment, we therefore expect that the partners that we		identify areas for		
chose to work with can develop and maintain the		improvement		
involvement of poor and marginalised women, girls, men	Christian Aid adheres to	Guidelines for minimum	January 2013	UK accountability team
and boys throughout the project cycle. Christian Aid will	international standards in	expectations will be		Country managers
assess, monitor and evaluate the quality of community	humanitarian work, and	produced and shared with		REMs
participation in partners' work and review partnership where	uses these standards to	partners		HAP focal points
commitment is not evident	guide practice in the field.			
	Participation is key in the			
In our development and humanitarian work, Christian Aid is	red cross code of conduct,			
committed to;	sphere and HAP.			
Defining and documenting the process we use to	No systematic way for	Pilot a system where data	March 2013	UK accountability team
identify the people with whom we work, referring to	Christian Aid to store data	will collected and analysed		Country managers
age, gender, diversity, special needs and other	on beneficiary age, gender	in two roll out countries		
characteristics.	and diversity			
Ensuring that communities are able to influence decision making by enabling affected women, girls,		Documentation from	March 2013	REMs
men and boys and other stakeholders to participate in		proposals, monitoring		HAP focal points
different stages of the project, including		visits will have a focus on		
identifying what needs to change	81/84	participation		
designing and implementing projects	PVCAs are conducted in	Learning from this process	June 2013	UK accountability team
monitoring what is delivered	some donor funded	will be documented and		Country managers
1	programmes, and there is	shared with management		REMs
 assessing impact Consulting partners at an early stage in key decision 	guidance for integrating	and staff to formalise		HAP focal points
making processes including 5 year strategic planning,	accountability into PVCAs	accountability in the PVCA		
funding decisions and programme reviews. Christian	Donor funded proposals	process Practice mainstreamed	March 2013	PFD
Aid will share the resulting policy with the partner	have clauses which outline	Practice mainstreamed	IVIAICII 2013	
community and invite and respond to their comments.	participation expectations			UK accountability team
commency and invite and respond to their comments.	Christian Aid ID staff and	Evidence will be collected	March 2013	UK accountability team
	partners actively engage to	to document the processes	IVIAICII 2013	Country managers
	develop country plans and	of partner consultations;		REMs
	programmes that are	gaps will also be identified		HAP focal points
	mutually agreed through	and highlighted to		nar iocai points
	consultative processes	management to make		
	constitutive processes	improvement plans		
	l	improvement plans		

Information sharing and communication

Christian Aid's Commitment	Where are we now – gaps	Areas for improvement	Commitments for 2012/13	
	and good practice		By when	Responsible
To all stakeholders We are committed to improving our performance and accountability through being open and transparent, making available on our website and / or on request information about;	Improved information on accountability and transparency should be made available on Christian Aid's website,	Christian Aid Accountability Framework made available online and continually updated	On-going	UK accountability team Digital
 our organisation, its vision/mission 	and updated regularly	Open information policy	March 2013	Country Managers
Governance and management		available online, and visits		REMs
 Organisational policies 		monitored		HAP focal points
 Strategies and plans 				UK accountability team
 Finance and performance 				Strategy & Performance
 Feedback/complaints mechanisms 				Digital
		Accountability and	June 2013	Strategy & Performance
		transparency content on		Communications
		website updated		Digital
		IATI reporting available on	March 2013	Strategy & Performance
		Christian Aid website and		Finance
		IATI register		PROMISE
				Digital
To partner organisations and to poor and marginalised	Roll out Christian Aid	All country roll out	March 2013	Country Managers
communities	country offices have	countries have their own		REMs
We commit to supporting our partners in making the	assessed their good	contextualised open		HAP focal points
information below available to the communities they work with: its background and contact details; its accountability framework, staff code of conduct and complaints procedure; its goals and project objectives, expected results	practice and gaps in information sharing and have made plans to improve practice	information policies with a monitoring plan to ensure that the right information is getting to the right people using the appropriate techniques		UK accountability team
with the timeframe, and a financial summary, as well as summaries of evaluations and progress reports; staff roles and responsibilities; criteria for selecting target groups and	In roll out countries information sharing guidelines have been shared with partners.	A system is set up so we can monitor how practical and useful these guidelines are and how we can improve on them	June 2012	UK accountability team
deliverables	Partners have been trained	Information sharing	March 2013	Country managers
 how input from participation activities has 	and are part of the	questions are included in		REMs
contributed to decisions.	accountability self-	Christian Aid monitoring		HAP focal points
	assessments	and evaluation		UK accountability team
Christian Aid will provide its partners with sufficient		Case studies are collected	December 2012	UK accountability team
information so that they can understand the purpose,		about effective methods of		PIL
achievements and challenges of Christian Aid and how to		information sharing, and		
engage with the organisation.		the impact this has on		

		programmes		
We will make available on request information about:	Christian Aid uses the	Guidelines for minimum	August 2012	UK accountability team
 Our organisation, its vision/mission 	'Good Enough Guide' for	expectations for		HAP focal points
 Governance and management 	basic information sharing	humanitarian programmes		REMs
 Organisational policies 		will be produced and		
 Strategies and plans 		shared with partners and		
Finance and performance		endorsed/monitored by		
 Feedback/complaints mechanisms 		humanitarian division		
		managers		
We also commit to ensuring that our public policy/advocacy	Country roll out offices are	All roll out country offices	December 2012	Country managers
and campaign positions are robustly researched and well-	developing open	will have a contextualised		Country focal points
founded in partner and beneficiary experience.	information policies	open information policy		REMs
		developed in consultation		
		with partners and which		
		will outline the best way to		
		share information		
		systematically in		
		appropriate languages .		
		Country offices will	March 2013	Country managers
		monitor how their		Country focal points
		information policy is used		REMs
		and what difference it		
		makes to their relationship		
		with partners		
		Country offices have	On-going, annually	Country managers
		periodic reviews of their		Country focal points
		OIPs. The country open		REMs
		information policy is		
		updated according to		
		partners' feedback.		
		Clearly defined Policy &	On-going	Country managers
		Advocacy Research		Country focal points
		methods		REMs

Complaints

Ī	Christian Aid's Commitment	Where are we now – gaps	Areas for improvement	Commitments for 2012/13	
		and good practice		By when	Responsible
	To poor and marginalised communities and to partner	HQ has an international	This policy will be reviewed	April 2012	UK accountability team
	organisations	complaints policy	and updated in		
	We are committed to enabling project participants, target		consultation with country		HAP Focal Points
	groups and end users to make a complaint when there is a		offices		

problem with our work or a project that we are funding, and		Records of complaints as	On-going six-monthly	UK accountability team
to know that it will be acted on.		evidence of mechanisms	an genigen menn,	HAP Focal Points
		being used; six monthly		
We are committed to ensuring that our formal complaints		reports will be sent to		
mechanism works effectively and that complaints are		management so they can		
handled through an accessible and safe process.		see common themes for		
		improvement		
We will respond to the feedback and complaints made by	Roll out country offices are	In all roll out countries a	December 2012	Country managers
communities and we make improvements and inform our	developing their own	complaints policy and		REMs
stakeholders how we have done this.	contextualised complaints	procedure will be in place.		HAP focal points
	policies, and have been	All complaints and		
We will encourage our partners and support them to	given guidance on how to	responses will be recorded		
establish their own complaints mechanisms to facilitate the	set up complaints	at country offices which		
handling of complaints from the communities that they work	mechanisms in	will then feed into central		
with.	communities.	system which will monitor		
Christian Aid is committed to enabling partners to make a		complaints across all		
complaint when there is a problem with our work or a		country offices.		
project that we are funding, and to know that it will be acted		Records of complaints as	On-going six-monthly	Country Managers
on.		evidence of mechanisms		IDSMT
		being used; six monthly		
		reports will be sent to		
		management so they can		
		see common themes for		
		improvement		
		As part of the PPA pilot	January 2013	UK accountability team
		complaints mechanisms		REMs
		will be set up in 2		HAP focal points
		countries and those		
		experiences will be		
		documented and analysed.		
		Country offices will	March 2013	UK accountability team
		monitor top line		REMs
		complaints from		HAP focal points
		communities to partners,		
		and encourage partners to		
		improve their programmes		
		based on		
		feedback/complaints from communities		
		Case studies collected	January 2013	UK accountability team
		about effective complaints	January 2013	ok accountability team
		•		
		mechanisms, and the		
		impact this has on		
To supportors, compaignors and voluntoors	There is clear information	programme Supporter complaints	March 2013	Supporter Enquiries / Head
To supporters, campaigners and volunteers	mere is clear information	Supporter complaints	IVIAI CII 2013	Supporter Enquines / Head

We are committed to providing supporters with accessible complaints mechanisms, to responding to complaints in a timely manner as appropriate and to ensuring that complaints are fed back to relevant decision makers. We will use feedback to improve the supporter experience where possible and appropriate, for instance where technical issues with our web sites are reported or to	on our website and printed resources on how to contact us, but no defined complaints mechanism and no easily accessible information on how supporters can escalate a complaint to independent bodies.	policy and mechanism to be developed and made easily accessible on the website. Information to include details of relevant external bodies such as the Fundraising Standards Board, Charity Commission, Information		of Supporter Relations Communications / Digital
improve data protection. Where complaints concern issues of a more subjective nature including, but not limited to, policy and fundraising practice, we are committed to ensuring that these views reach the appropriate staff and are taken seriously.	Supporter feedback (including complaints) is captured on the Ascent CRM against the supporter record. Some level of judgement is exercised by staff in deciding exactly what feedback to capture, particularly where feedback is given informally.	Commissioner etc. Further training delivered to staff and volunteers on how and when this mechanism should be used to ensure that use is consistent across the organisation and feedback captured is fully representative.	March 2013	Supporter Enquiries
	Informal feedback (such as comments written on response forms) sent to outsourcing companies is not captured.	Feasibility of capture of responses discussed with outsourcing companies.	March 2013	Head of Supporter Relations
	Monthly Supporter Feedback report is circulated to directors and staff in SPD and Supporter Relations so that it can be used to inform decisions. Specific feedback is passed on to other relevant staff as appropriate.	Feedback levels are insufficient to be accepted as truly representative. Alternative ways of gathering feedback from supporters to be investigated.	March 2013	Supporter Relations Inspiring Participation Insight
To our staff We are committed to modelling our outward facing commitments internally, and to ensure that	Whistle blowing and grievance procedures in place	Installation of a suggestion box to be explored, and web based department feedback system to be explored	On-going	HR

Shared goals, values and ways of working

Christian Aid's Commitment	Where are we now – gaps	Areas for improvement	Commitments for 2012/13	
	and good practice		By when	Responsible
We will work with individuals and organisations who agree on shared goals, values and ways of working as out lined in the Christian Aid corporate strategy, Partnership for Change	Staff and partners have a shared and common understanding of our goals, values and ways of	Ensure communication of and compliance with Christian Aid values among staff	On-going	SMG
	working	Ensure that out communications materials and online representation are in-line with our values	On-going	Digital & Communications